

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/766,757		01/27/2004	Rong-Chang Liang	07783.0088.NPUS000	2261
27194	7590	07/06/2006		EXAMINER	
HOWREY			TRA, TUYEN Q		
		G DEPARTMENT ARK DRIVE, SUITE 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		VA 22042-2924		2873	
				DATE MAILED: 07/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				1 /
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
		10/766,757	LIANG ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Tuyen Q. Tra	2873	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	s
THE M. - Extensing after St. - If the point of the poin	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION one of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a criod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by soly received by the Office later than three months after the nepatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. (HS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status				
1)⊠ F	desponsive to communication(s) filed on 1	13 June 2006		
-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	This action is non-final.		
'=	since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the mer	rits is
	losed in accordance with the practice und	· () () () ()	· ·	
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)☐ C 6)⊠ C 7)⊠ C	Claim(s) 1-15 and 45-64 is/are pending in (a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-7,10-15,45-61,63 and 64 is/are claim(s) 8,9 and 62 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction are	drawn from consideration.		
Application	n Papers			
9) <u></u> ⊤۱	ne specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.		
	ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Α	pplicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
R	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) 🗌 TI	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	52.
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) [cknowledgment is made of a claim for force. All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docum. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bute the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Appriority documents have been received in Appriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s	s)			
	of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
3) 🔲 Informa	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE to(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) ·)

Application/Control Number: 10/766,757 Page 2

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's arguments, see REMARK, filed 6/13/2006, with respect to the rejection of claims 1 and 45 under Zang et al. (U.S. 2003/0207963 A1) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made in view of Hsu et al. and Chiang.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 10, 12, 45, 46-59 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Chiang (U.S. Patent 4,285,801).
- a) With respect to claims 1 and 2, Chiang discloses an electrophoretic displays composition in abstract comprising of non-aqueous electrophoretic capsules comprising a halogenated polymeric shell and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition comprises charged pigment particles or pigment-containing microparticles dispersed in a dielectric solvent discloses halogenated/fluorinated polymer/oligomer (col. 2, lines 46-48).
- b) With respect to claims 45 and 46, Chiang discloses a capsules for electrophoretic displays and methods for making the same in Figure 3 comprising of non-aqueous electrophoretic capsules comprising a halogenated polymeric shell and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition

Application/Control Number: 10/766,757

Art Unit: 2873

comprises charged pigment particles or pigment-containing microparticles dispersed in a dielectric solvent (col. 9, lines 29-36, table 1), a binder binding the non-aqueous capsules, and a first substrate on which the capsules and binder are coated (col. 22, lines 7-20).

Page 3

- c) With respect to claims 57-59, Chiang discloses wherein the dielectric solvent is a halogenated solvent or solvent mixture; wherein the halogenated solvent is a fluorinated solvent having a fluorine content higher than 20% by weight; wherein the halogenated solvent is a fluorinated solvent having a fluorine content higher than 50% by weight.
- d) With respect to claims 10, 12 and 64, Chiang et al. discloses wherein the electrophoretic composition further comprises a charge control agent (col. 17, line 65).
- e) With respect to claims 47-51, Chiang further disclose wherein a second substrate disposed onto the capsule layer; wherein at least one of the two substrates is an electrode substrate; wherein at least one of the two substrates is transparent; wherein at least one of the substrates comprises an electrode layer facing the capsule layer; wherein the substrate or electrode layer is disposed onto the capsule layer by coating, printing, vapor deposition, sputtering, lamination or a combination thereof.
- f) With respect to claims 52-56, Chiang further disclose wherein the protective overcoat comprising a particulate filler; wherein the electrophoretic display device further comprises an overcoat on the non-capsule-coated surface of the first substrate; wherein the electrophoretic display further comprising an overcoat on the non-capsule-contacted surface of the second substrate.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,757

Art Unit: 2873

3. Claims 1-5 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hsu et al. (2006/0132896 A1).

Page 4

- a) With respect to claims 1 and 2, Hsu et al. discloses a core-shell particles for electrophoretic display comprising of an halogenated polymeric shell (a core-shell is microencapsulated or coated with polymer layer, paragraph [0067]) and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition comprises charged pigment particles dispersed in a dielectric solvent discloses halogenated/fluorinated polymer/oligomer (see Paragraph 0071).
- [0071] The reactive protective colloids may be prepared by, for example, linking molecules containing desirable functional groups for interfacial polymerization/crosslinking, with a low molecular weight compound, polymer or oligomer comprising a halogenated, preferably fluorinated, main chain or side chain. The low molecular weight compounds include, but not limited to, alkanes, aromatic compounds and arenes.
- b) With respect to claims 3-5, Hsu et al. further discloses wherein the dielectric solvent is a halogenated solvent or solvent mixture; wherein the halogenated solvent is a fluorinated solvent having a fluorine content higher than 20% by weight; wherein the halogenated solvent is a fluorinated solvent having a fluorine content higher than 50% by weight.
- e) With respect to claims 13-15, Hsu et al. further discloses wherein the additive is a catalyst for the shell-forming reaction, a charge adjuvant, an electrolyte, an antioxidant, a UV stabilizer, a singlet oxygen quencher, a gas absorber, a surfactant, a protective colloid or polymeric dispersant or a rheology modifier; wherein the additive is halogenated; wherein the additive is fluorinated (col. 2, line 50-col. 3, line17).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,757 Page 5

Art Unit: 2873

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. (U.S. Pat. 2006/0132896 A1), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Rao et al. (US Pat. 6,372,838B1)

Hsu et al. discloses a capsules for electrophoretic displays and methods for making the same in Figure 3 comprising of non-aqueous electrophoretic capsules comprising a halogenated polymeric shell and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition comprises charged pigment particles or pigment-containing microparticles dispersed in a dielectric solvent.

However, Hsu et al. does not disclose the fluorinated solvent or solvent mixture comprises perfluoropolyether or hydrofluoropolyether. Within the same field of endeavor, Rao et al. discloses a solvent mixture with perfluoropolyether (col. 14. line 25).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with a dielectric solvent such as disclosed by Hsu et al., with solvent comprising

perfluoropolyether or hydrofluoropolyether such as discloses by Rao et al., for purpose of making electrophoretic solvent.

6. Claims 60 and 61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zang et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,262,833 B1), as applied to claim 45 above, in view of Rao et al. (US Pat. 6,372,838B1)

Zang et al. discloses a capsules for electrophoretic displays and methods for making the same in Figure 3 comprising of non-aqueous electrophoretic capsules comprising a halogenated polymeric shell and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition comprises charged pigment particles or pigment-containing microparticles dispersed in a dielectric solvent.

However, Zang et al. does not disclose the fluorinated solvent or solvent mixture comprises perfluoropolyether or hydrofluoropolyether. Within the same field of endeavor, Rao et al. discloses a solvent mixture with perfluoropolyether (col. 14. line 25).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with a dielectric solvent such as disclosed by Zang et al., with solvent comprising perfluoropolyether or hydrofluoropolyether such as discloses by Rao et al., for purpose of making electrophoretic solvent.

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hsu et al. (U.S. Pub. 2006/0132896), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Jacobson et al. (US Pat. 6,323,989 B1)

Hsu et al. discloses a capsules for electrophoretic displays and methods for making the same in Figure 3 comprising of non-aqueous electrophoretic capsules comprising a halogenated polymeric shell and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition comprises charged pigment particles or pigment-containing microparticles dispersed in a dielectric solvent.

However, Hsu et al. does not disclose a contrast colorant. Within the same field of endeavor, Jacobson et al. discloses an electrophoretic medium with a contrast colorant (col. 2. lines 35-36).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with a dielectric solvent such as disclosed by Hsu et al., with electrophoretic composition comprising a contrast colorant such as discloses by Jacobson et al., for purpose of modifying particle surfaces.

8. Claim 63 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zang et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,262,833 B1), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Jacobson et al. (US Pat. 6,323,989 B1)

Zang et al. discloses a capsules for electrophoretic displays and methods for making the same in Figure 3 comprising of non-aqueous electrophoretic capsules comprising a halogenated polymeric shell and an electrophoretic composition enclosed therein wherein the electrophoretic composition comprises charged pigment particles or pigment-containing microparticles dispersed in a dielectric solvent.

However, Zang et al. does not disclose a contrast colorant. Within the same field of endeavor, Jacobson et al. discloses an electrophoretic medium with a contrast colorant (col. 2. lines 35-36).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the acoustic imaging apparatus with a dielectric solvent such as disclosed by Zang et al., with electrophoretic composition comprising a contrast colorant such as discloses by Jacobson et al., for purpose of modifying particle surfaces.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 8, 9 and 62 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The reason for the indication of allowable subject matter is that (claim 8, 9, 62) the pigment particles are TiO₂ particle disclosed in the claims is not found in the prior art.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Tra whose telephone number is (571) 272-2343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,757 Page 9

Art Unit: 2873

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky Mack, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2333. The fax number for this Group is (571) 273-8300.

TT

June 28, 2006

Primary Examiner